

# REALIZATION OF A SUM OF SEQUENCES BY A SUM GRAPH

BY

MICHAEL KOREN

## ABSTRACT

It is shown that the realizability of the sequences  $\phi = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $\psi = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  and  $\phi + \psi$  is a sufficient condition for the realizability of  $\phi + \psi$  by a graph with a  $\phi$ -factor if  $b_i \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The condition is not sufficient in general. A necessary and sufficient condition for the realizability of  $\phi + \psi$  by a graph with a  $\phi$ -factor is given for the case that  $\phi$  is realizable by a star and isolated vertices.

## 1. Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite, undirected and without loops or multiple edges.

$G = G(P_1, \dots, P_n)$  denotes a labelled graph with  $n$  vertices  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ . The degree  $d_G(P_i)$ , or  $d(P_i)$  of a vertex  $P_i$  of a graph  $G$  is the number of edges of  $G$ , incident with  $P_i$ .

$\pi(G) = (d(P_1), \dots, d(P_n))$  is the *degree sequence* of  $G$ . The finite sequence  $\phi = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is *realizable* if there exists a graph  $G = G(P_1, \dots, P_n)$  such that  $\phi = \pi(G)$ ;  $G$  is then called a realization of  $\phi$ .

$E(G)$  denotes the set of edges of  $G$ .

A graph  $H(P_1, \dots, P_n)$  is called a  $\phi$ -factor of  $G(P_1, \dots, P_n)$  if  $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$  and  $\pi(H) = \phi$ . If  $\phi = (1, \dots, 1)$ , then a  $\phi$ -factor is called a 1-factor.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $\phi = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $\psi = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  be two finite sequences; a realization  $G(P_1, \dots, P_n)$  of the sequence  $\phi + \psi = (a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_n + b_n)$  is a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization of  $(\phi + \psi)$  iff  $G$  has a  $\phi$ -factor  $H(P_1, \dots, P_n)$ .

---

Received November 28, 1972 and in revised form April 8, 1973

REMARK. If  $G$  is a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization then  $G$  has also a  $\psi$ -factor  $K(P_1, \dots, P_n)$ ;  $E(K) = E(G) - E(H)$ . Hence  $G$  is also called a *sum graph*.

A forest, as usual, is a graph without circuits, and a tree is a connected forest. A star is a tree with at most one vertex of degree greater than 1.

DEFINITION. A sequence  $\phi = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is *staric* if  $\phi$  is realizable by a forest consisting of a star and isolated vertices, i.e., if  $\phi$  is, up to a permutation, of the

form  $(\overbrace{m, 1, \dots, 1}^m, 0, \dots, 0)$ , where  $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$ .

In this paper we shall investigate the following conjecture: If  $\phi = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $\psi = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  and  $\phi + \psi$  are realizable sequences then  $\phi + \psi$  has a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization. (The realizability of  $\phi, \psi$  and  $\phi + \psi$  is of course a necessary condition for the existence of a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization).

We shall show that the conjecture is true if  $b_i \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and also if  $\phi + \psi$  is realizable by a forest, but that it is not true in general. We shall also provide a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization when  $\psi$  is staric.

**2.  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization I**

Throughout this paper we shall use the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. If  $\phi = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is a realizable sequence and  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$ , then for every  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists a realization  $G_i(P_1, \dots, P_n)$  of  $\phi$  in which the set of vertices adjacent to  $P_i$  is

$$\{P_k: 1 \leq k \leq a_i\}, \text{ if } a_i < i, \text{ or}$$

$$\{P_k: 1 \leq k \leq a_i + 1, k \neq i\} \text{ if } a_i \geq i.$$

For proofs of Lemma 1 see [6, Lemma 6], [3, Lemma (3.2)].

THEOREM 1. Let  $\phi = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $\psi = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  and  $\phi + \psi$  be three realizable sequences and let  $b_i \leq 1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Then  $\phi + \psi$  has a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization.

COROLLARY 1. A realizable sequence  $\phi_1 = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$  has a realization  $G$  with a 1-factor iff  $\phi = (c_1 - 1, \dots, c_n - 1)$  is realizable.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1. Since  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi$  are realizable,  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n (c_i - 1)$  are even. Thus  $n$  is even, hence  $\psi = (1, \dots, 1)$  is realizable and we can apply Theorem 1 to  $\phi_1 = \phi + \psi$ .

REMARK. Corollary 1 was conjectured by Branko Grünbaum; see [4, p. 492].

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Without loss of generality we may assume that both  $\phi$  and  $\phi + \psi$  are nonincreasing: we can obviously reorder the indices so that  $\phi$  becomes nonincreasing. If  $\phi$  is nonincreasing and for some  $i, 1 \leq i < n, a_i = a_{i+1}, b_i = 0$  and  $b_{i+1} = 1$ , then we may interchange the indices  $i$  and  $i + 1$ . (If  $a_i > a_{i+1}$  then  $a_i + b_i \geq a_{i+1} + b_{i+1}$  since  $b_{i+1} \leq 1$ .) By a finite number of such interchanges we obtain a nonincreasing reordering of both  $\phi$  and  $\phi + \psi$ .

The proof will proceed by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$  then  $a_1 = b_1 = 0$ . Assume the theorem is true for all  $k, k < n$ .

Case a. For some  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n, b_i = 0$ . Choose such an  $i$ . In order to simplify the notation, assume that  $a_i < i$  and let  $a_i = m$ . (The case  $a_i \geq i$  is practically the same).  $\phi$  is realizable, hence by Lemma 1, there exists a realization of  $\phi$  in which  $P_i$  is adjacent to  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m$ . Hence

$$\phi^* = (a_1 - 1, \dots, a_m - 1, a_{m+1}, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$$

is realizable.  $\phi + \psi$  is realizable, hence by Lemma 1 there exists a realization of  $\phi + \psi$  where  $P_i$  is adjacent to  $P_1, \dots, P_m$ . Let  $\psi^* = (b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n)$ . Then  $\phi^* + \psi^*$

$$= (a_1 + b_1 - 1, \dots, a_m + b_m - 1, a_{m+1} + b_{m+1}, \dots, a_{i-1} + b_{i-1}, a_{i+1} + b_{i+1}, \dots, a_n + b_n)$$

is realizable. Clearly  $\psi^*$  is realizable. By the induction hypothesis,  $\phi^* + \psi^*$  has a  $(\phi^*, \psi^*)$  realization  $G^*(P_1, \dots, P_{i-1}, P_{i+1}, \dots, P_n)$ . By adding to  $G^*$  the vertex  $P_i$  and connecting it to  $P_1, \dots, P_m$  we obtain a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization  $G(P_1, \dots, P_n)$ : if  $H^*$  is a  $\phi^*$ -factor of  $G^*$ , then  $H(P_1, \dots, P_n)$  with  $E(H) = E(H^*) \cup \{(P_i, P_j) : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  is a  $\phi$ -factor of  $G$ .

Case b.  $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_n = 1$ .

In this case  $a_1 + b_1 = a_1 + 1 \leq n - 1$ , hence  $a_1 \leq n - 2$ . We also assume that  $a_1 > 0$ , since otherwise  $\phi = 0$  and there is nothing to prove. Let  $a_1 = m$ .

$\phi$  is realizable; hence  $\phi^* = (a_2 - 1, \dots, a_{m+1} - 1, a_{m+2}, \dots, a_n)$  is realizable (compare the proof of Case a).

Let  $\psi^* = (b_2, \dots, b_{m+1}, 0, b_{m+3}, \dots, b_n)$ .  $\psi^*$  is clearly realizable.  $\phi + \psi$  is realizable, hence  $\phi^* + \psi^*$  is realizable (compare the proof of Case a). By the induction hypothesis  $\phi^* + \psi^*$  has a  $(\phi^*, \psi^*)$  realization  $G^*(P_2, \dots, P_n)$ . By adding to  $G^*$  the vertex  $P_1$  and connecting it to  $P_2, \dots, P_{m+2}$  we obtain a  $(\phi, \psi)$ -realization, since if  $H^*(P_2, \dots, P_n)$  is a  $\phi^*$ -factor of  $G^*$ , then  $H(P_1, \dots, P_n)$  with  $E(H) = E(H^*) \cup \{(P_1, P_i) : 2 \leq i \leq a_{m+1}\}$  is a  $\phi$ -factor of  $G$ .

**3.  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization II**

For Theorem 2 we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\phi = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be a sequence of nonnegative integers. If  $1 \leq k < n$ , then  $\phi$  is realizable by a forest of  $k$  trees iff  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 2(n - k)$  and there are fewer than  $k$  zeros in  $\phi$ .

The proof of the lemma is straightforward and is left to the reader.

THEOREM 2. Let  $\phi = (a_1, \dots, a_n), \psi = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  be two realizable sequences and suppose  $\phi + \psi$  is realizable by a forest. Then  $\phi + \psi$  has a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization.

PROOF. Assume  $\phi + \psi$  is realizable by a forest with  $k$  trees. If  $a_i \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , or  $b_i \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then, by Theorem 1,  $\phi + \psi$  has a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization. Assume therefore that  $a_i > 1$  for some  $i, b_j > 1$  for some  $j$ . This clearly implies that  $k < n - 1$ . The proof is by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$ , then  $a_1 = b_1 = 0$ .

Assume the theorem is true for all  $m, m < n$ . A forest with at least one edge has at least two one-valent vertices. Therefore at least two terms in  $\phi + \psi$  are equal to 1. Assume, without loss of generality, that  $a_1 \geq a_i$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n, a_1 > 1, a_n = 1, b_n = 0$ . Define  $\phi' = (a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) = (a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1})$ .  $\phi'$  is realizable, by Lemma 1. Define  $\psi' = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1})$ .  $\psi'$  is realizable.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a'_i + b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i + b_i) - 2 = 2[(n - 1) - k],$$

and there are fewer than  $k$  zeros in  $\phi' + \psi'$ . Therefore,  $\phi' + \psi'$  is realizable by a forest, hence, by the induction hypothesis,  $\phi' + \psi'$  has a  $(\phi', \psi')$  realization  $G'(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{n-1})$ . Adding to  $G'$  the vertex  $P_n$  and connecting it to  $P_1$  we obtain a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization. (Compare the proof of Theorem 1.)

REMARK. The  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization need not be a forest, as can be seen from the following example: Let  $\phi = (2, 2, 2, 0, 0), \psi = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1)$ . Then  $\phi + \psi$  has a realization by a tree but the (unique)  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization is not a forest.

**4. A counterexample**

Let  $\phi = (3, 2, 2, 1, 0)$ .  $\phi$  has a unique realization  $G_1$ . Let  $\psi = (1, 0, 0, 2, 1)$ .  $\psi$  has a unique realization  $G_2$ .  $\phi + \psi = (4, 2, 2, 3, 1)$ .  $\phi + \psi$  has a unique realization  $G$ . But  $(P_2, P_3)$  is an edge of  $G_1$  and is not an edge of  $G$ , hence  $G$  is not a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization, i.e.,  $\phi + \psi$  has no  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization.

**5. A condition for  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization**

[3] P. Erdős and T. Gallai found the following necessary and sufficient

condition for a nonincreasing sequence  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  of nonnegative integers to be realizable:

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \text{ is even;}$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^j a_i - j(j-1) \leq \sum_{k=j+1}^n \min(j, a_k), \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

For English and French versions of this result of Erdős and Gallai, with various proofs, see [1, pp. 110–111], [2, pp. 427–429, 433–436, 499, ex. 6.47] and [5, pp. 59–61].

Condition (2) is equivalent to the following condition:

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^j a_i - j(j-1) \leq (l-j)j + \sum_{k=l+1}^n a_k, \quad (j = 1, \dots, n, l = j, j+1, \dots, n)$$

[3, (1.4)].

For the case of an arbitrary sequence of nonnegative integers we have to replace (3) by

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i \in S} a_i \leq \sum_{i \in T} a_i + s(n-1-t)$$

for all disjoint sets  $S, T$  such that  $\phi \neq S \cup T \subseteq N$ , where  $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $s$  and  $t$  are the cardinalities of  $S$  and  $T$  respectively [6, condition (1.4)].

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\phi = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be a sequence of nonnegative integers. Let  $\psi = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  be staric with  $b_n = m > 1$ , and assume  $\phi + \psi$  is realizable. Define for every pair of disjoint sets  $S, T \subset N$ ,*

$$d(S, T) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i \in S} b_i & \text{if } n \notin S \cup T \\ 0 & \text{if } n \in S \cup T. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\phi + \psi$  has a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization iff

$$(5) \quad d(S, T) + \sum_{i \in S} a_i \leq \sum_{i \in T} a_i + s(n-1-t)$$

for all disjoint sets  $S, T \subset N$ .

**REMARK.** Condition (5) implies condition (4) for  $\phi$ , hence (5) is a sufficient condition for the realizability of  $\phi$ .

**PROOF.** First we shall prove the necessity of condition (5).

If  $n \in T \cup S$  then  $d(S, T) = 0$ , so in this case (5) is necessary for the realization

of  $\phi$ . Suppose  $n \notin S \cup T$ . Let  $G^*$  be a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization, with a  $\psi$ -factor  $K^*$ . The number of edges of  $K^*$  connecting  $P_n$  to vertices of  $\{P_i : i \in T\}$  is evidently  $\sum_{i \in T} b_i$ . (Note that  $b_i \leq 1$  for  $i \in T$ .) By removing from  $G^*$  these edges of  $K^*$ , we obtain a graph  $G$ . In  $G$  we have, by (4) :

$$\sum_{i \in S} d(P_i) \leq \sum_{i \in T} d(P_i) + s(n - 1 - t),$$

but

$$\sum_{i \in S} d(P_i) = \sum_{i \in S} (a_i + b_i) = d(S, T) + \sum_{i \in S} a_i$$

and

$$\sum_{i \in T} d(P_i) = \sum_{i \in T} a_i,$$

hence

$$d(S, T) + \sum_{i \in S} a_i \leq \sum_{i \in T} a_i + s(n - 1 - t).$$

Now we shall prove the sufficiency of condition (5): Let  $M$  be the subset of  $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$  such that that  $i \in M$  iff  $b_i = 1$ . We assume that  $M = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$  where  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n - 1$ . Since  $b_i \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_{n-1}$  and also  $a_1 + b_1 \geq \dots \geq a_{n-1} + b_{n-1}$ . (Compare the proof of Theorem 1.)

Define, for  $0 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $\phi_k = (a_1^k, \dots, a_n^k)$ , where

$$a_i^k = \begin{cases} a_i + k & \text{if } i = n \\ a_i + 1 & \text{if } i = i_1, \dots, i_k \\ a_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $a_1^k \geq a_2^k \geq \dots \geq a_{n-1}^k$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ).

In Lemma 3 we shall prove that  $\phi_k$  is realizable for  $0 \leq k \leq m$ .

Define  $i_0 = 0$ ,  $i_{m+1} = n$ . Then (as will be shown in Lemma 4) for some  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , we have  $i_k \leq a_n + k < i_{k+1}$ . Choose such a  $k$ . By Lemma 1,  $\phi_k$  has a realization  $G'$  in which  $P_n$  is adjacent to  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{a_n+k}$ . Adding to  $G'$  the edges  $(P_i, P_n)$  for  $l = k + 1, \dots, m$ , we obtain a graph  $G$ , such that  $\pi(G) = \phi + \psi$ , and  $\{(P_i, P_n) \mid 1 \leq l \leq m\} \subseteq E(G)$ ; i.e.,  $G$  is a  $(\phi, \psi)$  realization.

We will complete the proof by stating and proving Lemmas 3 and 4.

LEMMA 3. Let  $\phi = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $\psi = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  be two sequences, satisfying all the requirements of Theorem 3, including condition (5). Define  $\phi_k$  for  $0 \leq k \leq m$  as in the proof of Theorem 3. Then  $\phi_k$  is realizable for  $0 \leq k \leq m$ .

PROOF. We must show that each sequence  $\phi_k$  satisfies condition (4), i.e., for every two disjoint sets  $S, T \subset N$

$$\sum_{i \in S} a_i^k \leq \sum_{i \in T} a_i^k + s(n - 1 - t) \text{ (for } 0 \leq k \leq m \text{)}.$$

Case a.  $n \in S$ . Suppose that

$$(6) \quad \sum_S a_i^k > \sum_T a_i^k + s(n - 1 - t).$$

Since we assume that  $\phi + \psi$  is realizable, inequality (4) for  $\phi_m = \phi + \psi$  yields

$$(4') \quad \sum_S a_i^m \leq \sum_T a_i^m + s(n - 1 - t).$$

This is a contradiction, since

$$\sum_S a_i^m - \sum_S a_i^k \geq a_n^m - a_n^k = m - k,$$

and

$$\sum_T a_i^m + s(n - 1 - t) - \sum_T a_i^k - s(n - 1 - t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^m - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^k = m - k.$$

Case b.  $n \in T$ . Suppose again that

$$\sum_S a_i^k > \sum_T a_i^k + s(n - 1 - t).$$

Inequality (4) for  $\phi_0 = \phi$  implies

$$(4'') \quad \sum_S a_i \leq \sum_T a_i + s(n - 1 - t).$$

This is again a contradiction, since

$$\sum_S a_i^k - \sum_S a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^k - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i = k$$

and

$$\sum_T a_i^k - \sum_T a_i \geq a_n^k - a_n = k.$$

Case c.  $n \notin S \cup T$ .

In this case inequality (5) yields

$$\sum_S a_i^k \leq \sum_S a_i^m = d(S, T) + \sum_S a_i \leq \sum_T a_i + s(n - 1 - t) \leq \sum_T a_i^k + s(n - 1 - t).$$

LEMMA 4. Let  $i_1, \dots, i_m$  be integers,  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n - 1$ , and let  $a_n$  be

a number. If  $a_n \geq i_1$ , and  $a_n + m < i_m$  then for some  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k < m$ , we have  $i_k \leq a_n + k < i_{k+1}$ .

PROOF. If  $a_n + m < i_m$ , then  $a_n + (m - 1) < i_m$ . Let  $l$  be the smallest nonnegative index such that  $a_n + l < i_{l+1}$ . By assumption,  $a_n + 0 \geq i_1$  hence  $0 < l \leq m - 1$ . Then  $i_l \leq a_n + l$ , since otherwise  $a_n + (l - 1) < a_n + l < i_l$ , a contradiction to the minimality of  $l$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my deep gratitude to Professor Micha A. Perles who helped me greatly throughout this research with helpful criticism.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Berge, *Graphes et Hypergraphes*, Dunod, Paris, 1970.
2. W. K. Chen, *Applied Graph Theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam, London, 1971.
3. P. Erdős and T. Gallai, *Gráfok előirt fokú pontokkal*, (*Graphs with vertices of prescribed degrees*. Hungarian, Russian and German summaries), *Mat. Lapok*. **11** (1960), 264-274.
4. B. Grünbaum, Problem 2 in: *Combinatorial Structures and their Applications*, R. Guy, H. Hanani, N. Sauer and J. Schonheim (eds.), Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, London, Paris, 1970.
5. F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
6. M. Koren, *Extreme degree sequences of simple graphs*, *J. Combinatorial Theory*, Section B, to appear.
7. W. T. Tutte, *A short proof of the factor theorem for finite graphs*, *Canad. J. Math.* **6** (1954), 347-352.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM  
JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

AND

DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH  
CORNELL UNIVERSITY  
ITHICA, NEW YORK, U.S.A.